

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

AVOCENT REDMOND CORP.,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
ROSE ELECTRONICS, *et al.*,  
Defendants. )  
No. C06-1711-MJP  
ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF  
IN SUPPORT OF ITS  
MOTION TO DISQUALIFY  
HELLER EHRLMAN LLP

This matter comes before the Court under Local General Rule 8(b). On April 5, 2007, plaintiff Avocent Redmond Corp. (“Redmond”) filed a motion to disqualify Heller Ehrman LLP from representing defendants Rose Electronics, Peter Macourek and Darioush “David” Rahvar in this matter (hereinafter “defendants”). See Dkt. #58. On May 1, 2007, this motion was referred by the Honorable Marsha J. Pechman, United States District Judge, to the Chief Judge for review. See Dkt. #83 (Minute Order). Therefore, plaintiff’s motion is properly before this Court for consideration.

On May 16, 2007, after plaintiff's motion had been referred to this Court, plaintiff filed a "Supplemental Brief in Support of Its Motion to Disqualify Heller Ehrman LLP" (Dkt. #87) to provide the Court with newly discovered facts supporting its motion. This Court will consider the supplemental brief as part of the Court's review of plaintiff's motion to disqualify Heller Ehrman LLP. As a result, defendants are hereby granted leave to respond to plaintiff's

ORDER ON PLAINTIFF'S  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF

1 supplemental brief. Any response by defendants to plaintiff's supplemental brief shall be filed  
2 by **May 24, 2007** and shall not exceed 5 pages, excluding supporting exhibits or declarations.  
3 No additional briefing, however, will be considered.

4 DATED this 17th day of May, 2007.  
5  
6

7 Robert S. Lasnik

8 Robert S. Lasnik  
United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26